


## PART B: HOUSING NEEDS BY COUNTY AND METRO AREA

Summary information comparing New Hampshire counties and metro areas (the portions within New Hampshire) are illustrated in the following figures and tables. The data in this section focuses on housing needs primarily among low-income renters and on total production needs for the Counties and major metro areas (NH portions). Details in the appendices to this report provide more full documentation of the analysis of renters, owners, and the elements of housing production. Selected data are presented for comparison of the geographic areas, followed by individual sections on each geographic area.


### 1. Summary Comparisons of County and Metro Area Needs

Figures 13 through 16 show the county and metro area changes in very low-income renters spending 30% or more and 35% or more of their income on gross rent. In general, these needs have increased across the state in all of the regions illustrated except in Coos, Sullivan and Grafton Counties, where the number of low income renters with a high cost burden declined slightly. The largest numerical increases in low income renter cost burden were in Hillsborough and Rockingham Counties, and in the Nashua and Lawrence-Haverhill PMSAs.

Figure 13



**Figure 14**



**Figure 15**




Figure 16




Table 6 illustrates for each of the counties and metro areas the number of renter households with various levels of cost burden in the year 2000. These are shown as the number and percent of renter households paying various levels of their household income to gross rent, and the percentage of total renter household sample with these payment ratios. The percentage of renters with housing cost burdens at the 30%, 35% and 50% or more of household income is fairly consistent across the state. The proportion of total renters with these cost burdens differs by not more than two percentage points from one county or metro area to another.

**Table 6**  
**Housing Payment Ratios for Renters: Gross Rent as Percent of Income**


<b>Gross Rent in 2000 as a Percentage of Household Income in 1999</b>	<b>Belknap County</b>	<b>Carroll County</b>	<b>Cheshire County</b>	<b>Coos County</b>	<b>Grafton County</b>	<b>Hillsborough County</b>	<b>Merrimack County</b>	<b>Rockingham County</b>	<b>Strafford County</b>	<b>Sullivan County</b>	<b>New Hampshire Total</b>	<b>Lawrence, MA--NH PMSA (part)</b>	<b>Manchester, NH PMSA</b>	<b>Nashua, NH PMSA</b>	<b>Portsmouth--Rochester, NH--ME PMSA (part)</b>
Total Renter Sample	5,636	3,894	7,915	3,900	9,552	50,285	15,437	25,199	14,977	4,432	141,227	9,905	28,575	21,458	27,152
Less than 10 percent	267	307	425	300	564	2,717	836	1,462	843	269	7,990	663	1,426	1,297	1,483
10 to 14 percent	692	397	816	521	1,114	6,396	1,855	3,377	1,780	532	17,480	1,380	3,555	2,830	3,357
15 to 19 percent	924	621	1,160	565	1,504	8,295	2,573	4,524	2,449	735	23,350	1,746	4,669	3,627	4,688
20 to 24 percent	811	527	1,155	537	1,414	7,709	2,509	3,915	2,106	764	21,447	1,577	4,438	3,362	3,925
25 to 29 percent	655	379	1,060	457	1,160	6,248	1,852	2,489	1,871	475	16,646	984	3,539	2,526	3,101
30 to 34 percent	411	302	669	301	722	3,839	1,100	1,754	1,124	295	10,517	658	2,241	1,605	2,026
35 to 39 percent	277	237	485	232	450	2,724	664	1,287	754	215	7,325	581	1,413	1,311	1,325
40 to 49 percent	338	223	409	221	574	3,186	954	1,537	1,015	241	8,698	650	1,906	1,314	1,728
50 percent or more	838	512	1,251	525	1,330	6,797	2,328	3,474	2,368	673	20,096	1,230	3,981	2,698	4,242
Not computed	423	389	485	241	720	2,374	766	1,380	667	233	7,678	436	1,407	888	1,277
Number 30% +	1,864	1,274	2,814	1,279	3,076	16,546	5,046	8,052	5,261	1,424	46,636	3,119	9,541	6,928	9,321
Number 35%+	1,453	972	2,145	978	2,354	12,707	3,946	6,298	4,137	1,129	36,119	2,461	7,300	5,323	7,295
Number 50%+	838	512	1,251	525	1,330	6,797	2,328	3,474	2,368	673	20,096	1,230	3,981	2,698	4,242
<b>Percent of Total Renters By Payment Category</b>															
Less than 10 percent	4.7%	7.9%	5.4%	7.7%	5.9%	5.4%	5.4%	5.8%	5.6%	6.1%	5.7%	6.7%	5.0%	6.0%	5.5%
10 to 14 percent	12.3%	10.2%	10.3%	13.4%	11.7%	12.7%	12.0%	13.4%	11.9%	12.0%	12.4%	13.9%	12.4%	13.2%	12.4%
15 to 19 percent	16.4%	15.9%	14.7%	14.5%	15.7%	16.5%	16.7%	18.0%	16.4%	16.6%	16.5%	17.6%	16.3%	16.9%	17.3%
20 to 24 percent	14.4%	13.5%	14.6%	13.8%	14.8%	15.3%	16.3%	15.5%	14.1%	17.2%	15.2%	15.9%	15.5%	15.7%	14.5%
25 to 29 percent	11.6%	9.7%	13.4%	11.7%	12.1%	12.4%	12.0%	9.9%	12.5%	10.7%	11.8%	9.9%	12.4%	11.8%	11.4%
30 to 34 percent	7.3%	7.8%	8.5%	7.7%	7.6%	7.6%	7.1%	7.0%	7.5%	6.7%	7.4%	6.6%	7.8%	7.5%	7.5%
35 to 39 percent	4.9%	6.1%	6.1%	5.9%	4.7%	5.4%	4.3%	5.1%	5.0%	4.9%	5.2%	5.9%	4.9%	6.1%	4.9%
40 to 49 percent	6.0%	5.7%	5.2%	5.7%	6.0%	6.3%	6.2%	6.1%	6.8%	5.4%	6.2%	6.6%	6.7%	6.1%	6.4%
50 percent or more	14.9%	13.1%	15.8%	13.5%	13.9%	13.5%	15.1%	13.8%	15.8%	15.2%	14.2%	12.4%	13.9%	12.6%	15.6%
Not computed	7.5%	10.0%	6.1%	6.2%	7.5%	4.7%	5.0%	5.5%	4.5%	5.3%	5.4%	4.4%	4.9%	4.1%	4.7%
% of Total Pay 30% +	33.1%	32.7%	35.6%	32.8%	32.2%	32.9%	32.7%	32.0%	35.1%	32.1%	33.0%	31.5%	33.4%	32.3%	34.3%
% of Total Pay 35%+	25.8%	25.0%	27.1%	25.1%	24.6%	25.3%	25.6%	25.0%	27.6%	25.5%	25.6%	24.8%	25.5%	24.8%	26.9%
% of Total Pay 50%+	14.9%	13.1%	15.8%	13.5%	13.9%	13.5%	15.1%	13.8%	15.8%	15.2%	14.2%	12.4%	13.9%	12.6%	15.6%

## 2. Comparison of Housing Supply Factors by Area


### a. Change in Supply by Tenure

Figures 17 and 18 compare the net change in rental units from 1990-2000 to the net change in the ownership stock for the same period for the Counties and metro areas. Even though the 1990s were a relatively slow period for housing production compared to the 1980s, the net change the housing stock from 1990 to 2000 introduced an almost exclusively ownership-oriented supply. There was a minor net increase in rental housing opportunities. In 1990, many condominium units were rented. During the 1990-2000 period, an improved market for condos lead to more purchases by owner-occupants. This change in tenure constitutes part of the increase in the “ownership stock” from 1990-2000.

**Figure 17**



**Figure 18**



## b. Change in Rental Inventory


Figures 19 and 20 illustrate the net change in occupied and vacant available rental housing units. During the 1990 to 2000 period, there was a net increase statewide of only 875 units either occupied or available to renter households. The increase in the rental housing stock was positive only in Cheshire, Hillsborough, Merrimack and Strafford Counties. A very small net increase in rental units occurred principally because of the high number of vacant units that were available for absorption in the first half of the decade. Another possible effect on the rental supply during the period was the improvement in homeownership opportunities within the condominium market. Once used extensively as part of the rental supply, an improved economy probably led to the re-capture of condo units back into the ownership market, thus reducing the available rental supply.

Rockingham County registered a large net loss of over 1,800 units of rental housing during the 1990-2000 period. Much of this change appears to be due to three factors:


- The closure of Pease Air Force Base and associated housing, along with the net loss of units at Mariner's Village in Portsmouth;
- A change in the housing inventory in Hampton with respect to number of units classified as vacant and available for rent in 1990 vs. their classification as seasonal units in 2000;
- The loss of condominium units from the rental inventory in response to an improved owner-occupancy market for condos.

At the metro area level, the same pattern in the loss of rental housing stock is reflected in the Portsmouth PMSA. In the Lawrence-Haverhill PMSA there was a net increase of only 24 rental units over the ten-year period. Despite rapid growth in the southeastern portion of the state, the rental housing stock declined, placing further pressure on a tight rental market.

**Figure 19**




**Figure 20**




c. Vacancy Rates

The comparison of rental and ownership vacancy rates in Figures 21 and 22 illustrate the very limited availability of both ownership and rental housing in the southern Counties and the metro areas, in contrast to the higher level of vacancies in the northern Counties.

**Figure 21**



**Figure 22**



### 3. Household Income Distribution by Tenure by Area

Tables 7 through 9 illustrate nominal 1999 household incomes as measured by the 2000 Census for households by tenure. Sample data from the Census shown in these tables is representative of *all households* in both owner and rental tenure categories.<sup>5</sup>

The tables shown are based on interpolation and aggregation of municipal level data on households by income. Income is expressed as a percent the area median family income applicable to the municipality of residence, based on its location within a metro area or a non-metro portion of a county. For convenience, the percentage of households falling within the selected income thresholds is shown on a cumulative basis.

The user can estimate the proportion of households falling within a certain band of income by subtraction. For example to estimate the number of households earning between 50% to 80% of area median family income, one merely subtracts the number earning under 50% of area median family income from the number earning under 80% of area median family income. The use of cumulative income ranges provides an easier method of interpolation to simplify the process of updating or projecting households by tenure and income level.

### 4. Senior Non-Institutional Persons With Disabilities by Area

Table 10 compares the non-institutional population age 65 and older having selected disabilities among the counties and metropolitan areas within New Hampshire. Note that the numbers and percentages shown here are a function of the *non-institutional* population in the 65 to 74, and 75+ age groups. This means that the data do not include persons already residing in group quarters such as nursing homes, the prison population and other group quarters defined by the Census. When applying any of the percentages shown in the table to construct updates or projections, the user must first determine the non-institutional population within the given age group. Otherwise, application of these percentages to the total senior population will overestimate the needs represented by this data. This data is the most detailed available from the published SF3 Census sample material. More accurate information may be developed for more detailed age groupings using other sources such as the National Health Interview Survey, or from other models prepared for NHHFA based on similar data.

---

<sup>5</sup> The household income data in Tables 7 to 9 reflect the incomes of all households by tenure (owner-occupied units vs. renter occupancy). The user should note, however, that other data on housing cost burden (housing cost as a percent of income) was computed by the U. S. Census only for a portion of owner-occupied units. The cost burden data tables for homeowners are limited to Census-defined “specified owner occupied units” which excludes owners of attached, multifamily, or manufactured housing units. Therefore, the cost burden data for homeowners cited elsewhere in this report reflects only about 75% of all owner-occupants.

**Table 7**  
**Household Income In 1999 Measured by 2000 Census - ALL HOUSEHOLDS**

Area	Total Households	LT \$5,000	\$5,000-\$9,999	\$10,000-\$14,999	\$15,000-\$19,999	\$20,000-\$24,999	\$25,000-\$34,999	\$35,000-\$49,999	\$50,000-\$74,999	\$75,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$149,999	\$150,000+
Belknap County	22,459	364	949	1,304	1,289	1,557	3,196	4,294	5,120	2,345	1,218	823
Carroll County	18,351	438	901	1,153	1,401	1,395	2,613	3,567	3,786	1,432	1,026	639
Cheshire County	28,299	615	1,491	1,498	1,777	1,904	4,221	5,074	6,551	2,756	1,567	845
Coos County	13,961	343	1,239	1,372	1,099	1,099	2,092	2,789	2,578	763	462	125
Grafton County	31,598	697	1,810	1,789	2,214	2,100	4,255	6,032	6,544	2,924	1,929	1,304
Hillsborough County	144,455	3,023	5,121	6,272	6,598	7,624	14,869	23,030	33,826	20,065	15,938	8,089
Merrimack County	51,843	1,099	2,108	2,426	2,619	3,038	6,319	9,170	12,166	6,738	4,190	1,970
Rockingham County	104,529	1,704	3,095	3,806	4,124	4,529	9,937	16,110	25,130	16,298	13,203	6,593
Stafford County	42,581	939	1,966	2,468	2,189	2,688	5,640	8,287	9,652	4,809	2,731	1,212
Sullivan County	16,530	326	981	903	1,209	1,099	2,319	3,310	3,682	1,467	793	441
New Hampshire	474,606	9,548	19,661	22,991	24,519	27,033	55,461	81,663	109,035	59,597	43,057	22,041
Boston, MA--NH PMSA (part)	2,427	35	127	144	108	81	304	447	590	297	225	69
Lawrence, MA--NH PMSA (part)	47,163	685	1,132	1,457	1,613	1,967	4,516	7,143	11,439	7,895	6,453	2,863
Lowell, MA--NH PMSA (part)	3,606	35	70	55	69	93	274	506	845	759	687	213
Manchester, NH PMSA	75,368	1,882	3,151	3,601	3,703	4,296	8,305	12,558	17,685	9,743	6,820	3,624
Nashua, NH PMSA	71,176	1,081	1,937	2,576	2,759	3,284	6,499	10,342	17,012	11,089	9,761	4,836
Portsmouth--Rochester, NH--ME PMSA (part)	79,141	1,640	3,395	4,242	4,063	4,391	9,215	13,976	17,795	9,742	6,899	3,783

**INCOME RELATIVE TO AREA MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME - ALL HOUSEHOLDS - % WITHIN INCOME RANGE**

Area	Under 30% MAI	Under 50% MAI	Under 60% MAI	Under 80% MAI	Under 100% MAI	Under 120% MAI	Above 120% MAI
Belknap County	11.8%	24.7%	31.9%	45.4%	58.1%	67.3%	32.7%
Carroll County	12.4%	26.5%	33.4%	46.4%	58.6%	67.8%	32.2%
Cheshire County	13.1%	26.5%	34.1%	47.6%	59.6%	69.0%	31.0%
Coos County	15.6%	29.6%	36.0%	48.2%	59.4%	70.3%	29.7%
Grafton County	13.8%	27.5%	34.3%	47.5%	60.1%	68.5%	31.5%
Hillsborough County	13.6%	26.8%	33.5%	46.9%	59.2%	78.4%	21.6%
Merrimack County	13.0%	26.1%	33.1%	46.6%	58.4%	69.2%	30.8%
Rockingham County	10.9%	22.1%	28.1%	40.7%	52.7%	64.5%	35.5%
Stafford County	15.2%	29.6%	37.3%	52.4%	64.2%	74.8%	25.2%
Sullivan County	12.9%	26.4%	33.1%	46.5%	59.4%	68.7%	31.3%
New Hampshire	13.0%	25.9%	32.7%	46.0%	58.2%	71.3%	28.7%
Boston, MA--NH PMSA (part)	17.4%	31.9%	40.3%	55.9%	69.2%	91.3%	8.7%
Lawrence, MA--NH PMSA (part)	9.7%	21.0%	27.3%	40.1%	52.5%	64.4%	35.6%
Lowell, MA--NH PMSA (part)	6.4%	15.3%	21.3%	33.8%	46.4%	79.5%	20.5%
Manchester, NH PMSA	14.3%	27.4%	34.0%	46.9%	58.9%	70.1%	29.9%
Nashua, NH PMSA	12.0%	24.4%	30.9%	43.9%	56.9%	83.3%	16.7%
Portsmouth--Rochester, NH--ME PMSA (part)	14.4%	27.4%	34.3%	48.1%	59.5%	70.0%	30.0%

**Table 8**

**Household Income In 1999 Measured by 2000 Census - OWNER HOUSEHOLDS**

Area	Total Owner	LT \$5,000	\$5,000- \$9,999	\$10,000- \$14,999	\$15,000- \$19,999	\$20,000- \$24,999	\$25,000- \$34,999	\$35,000- \$49,999	\$50,000- \$74,999	\$75,000- \$99,999	\$100,000- \$149,999	\$150,000+
Belknap County	16,640	152	348	736	656	985	2,132	3,295	4,368	2,046	1,145	777
Carroll County	14,266	283	443	793	976	990	1,854	2,855	3,204	1,267	981	620
Cheshire County	20,057	207	560	754	983	1,108	2,730	3,631	5,420	2,448	1,440	776
Coos County	9,925	187	472	747	678	686	1,424	2,204	2,304	694	417	112
Grafton County	21,687	276	629	829	1,240	1,117	2,571	4,194	5,324	2,546	1,773	1,188
Hillsborough County	93,820	919	1,325	2,257	2,671	3,281	7,102	13,328	24,379	16,548	14,551	7,459
Merrimack County	36,048	416	613	962	1,210	1,549	3,669	6,139	9,873	5,922	3,895	1,800
Rockingham County	78,999	811	1,401	1,973	2,453	2,671	6,039	10,977	19,791	14,524	12,191	6,168
Strafford County	27,426	295	511	994	1,011	1,315	3,120	5,114	7,253	4,243	2,465	1,105
Sullivan County	11,915	165	362	457	651	698	1,547	2,479	3,065	1,339	757	395
New Hampshire	330,783	3,711	6,664	10,502	12,529	14,400	32,188	54,216	84,981	51,577	39,615	20,400
Boston, MA--NH PMSA (part)	2,427	35	127	144	108	81	304	447	590	297	225	69
Lawrence, MA--NH PMSA (part)	37,118	392	581	816	975	1,180	2,805	5,156	9,227	7,259	6,039	2,688
Lowell, MA--NH PMSA (part)	3,089	23	36	34	42	62	207	382	706	718	672	207
Manchester, NH PMSA	46,709	487	767	1,195	1,464	1,655	3,736	6,738	12,854	8,203	6,259	3,351
Nashua, NH PMSA	49,611	391	573	1,067	1,185	1,581	3,349	6,508	12,522	9,092	8,904	4,439
Portsmouth--Rochester, NH--ME PMSA (part)	51,714	542	940	1,724	2,055	2,179	5,014	8,396	12,924	8,306	6,147	3,487

**INCOME RELATIVE TO AREA MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME - OWNERS - % WITHIN INCOME RANGE**

Area	Under 30% MAI	Under 50% MAI	Under 60% MAI	Under 80% MAI	Under 100% MAI	Under 120% MAI	Above 120% MAI
Belknap County	7.5%	17.6%	24.1%	37.2%	50.4%	61.0%	39.0%
Carroll County	9.6%	22.3%	28.6%	40.9%	53.4%	63.2%	36.8%
Cheshire County	7.9%	18.7%	25.7%	38.7%	50.9%	61.9%	38.1%
Coos County	9.9%	21.5%	27.1%	38.7%	50.6%	62.7%	37.3%
Grafton County	8.1%	19.1%	25.1%	37.6%	50.5%	60.4%	39.6%
Hillsborough County	7.1%	16.4%	22.0%	34.3%	47.7%	70.6%	29.4%
Merrimack County	7.0%	16.8%	22.7%	35.5%	48.3%	60.8%	39.2%
Rockingham County	7.4%	16.3%	21.4%	32.8%	45.1%	57.5%	42.5%
Strafford County	8.4%	19.7%	26.4%	40.7%	53.6%	65.9%	34.1%
Sullivan County	7.9%	18.7%	24.9%	37.9%	51.3%	61.8%	38.2%
New Hampshire	7.6%	17.6%	23.4%	35.8%	48.6%	63.5%	36.5%
Boston, MA--NH PMSA (part)	17.4%	31.9%	40.3%	55.9%	69.2%	91.3%	8.7%
Lawrence, MA--NH PMSA (part)	7.0%	15.8%	21.1%	32.9%	45.6%	58.0%	42.0%
Lowell, MA--NH PMSA (part)	4.4%	12.0%	17.3%	28.6%	40.9%	76.4%	23.6%
Manchester, NH PMSA	7.1%	15.8%	20.7%	31.9%	45.0%	58.2%	41.8%
Nashua, NH PMSA	6.7%	15.6%	21.3%	33.7%	47.3%	77.6%	22.4%
Portsmouth--Rochester, NH--ME PMSA (part)	8.3%	18.6%	24.3%	37.0%	48.9%	60.7%	39.3%

**Table 9**

<b>Household Income In 1999 Measured by 2000 Census - RENTER HOUSEHOLDS</b>												
Area	Total Rent	LT \$5,000	\$5,000- \$9,999	\$10,000- \$14,999	\$15,000- \$19,999	\$20,000- \$24,999	\$25,000- \$34,999	\$35,000- \$49,999	\$50,000- \$74,999	\$75,000- \$99,999	\$100,000- \$149,999	\$150,000+
Belknap County	5,819	212	601	568	633	572	1,064	999	752	299	73	46
Carroll County	4,085	155	458	360	425	405	759	712	582	165	45	19
Cheshire County	8,242	408	931	744	794	796	1,491	1,443	1,131	308	127	69
Coos County	4,036	156	767	625	421	413	668	585	274	69	45	13
Grafton County	9,911	421	1,181	960	974	983	1,684	1,838	1,220	378	156	116
Hillsborough County	50,635	2,104	3,796	4,015	3,927	4,343	7,767	9,702	9,447	3,517	1,387	630
Merrimack County	15,795	683	1,495	1,464	1,409	1,489	2,650	3,031	2,293	816	295	170
Rockingham County	25,530	893	1,694	1,833	1,671	1,858	3,898	5,133	5,339	1,774	1,012	425
Strafford County	15,155	644	1,455	1,474	1,178	1,373	2,520	3,173	2,399	566	266	107
Sullivan County	4,615	161	619	446	558	401	772	831	617	128	36	46
New Hampshire	143,823	5,837	12,997	12,489	11,990	12,633	23,273	27,447	24,054	8,020	3,442	1,641
Boston, MA--NH PMSA (part)	1,300	49	90	65	131	63	229	282	283	82	26	0
Lawrence, MA--NH PMSA (part)	10,045	293	551	641	638	787	1,711	1,987	2,212	636	414	175
Lowell, MA--NH PMSA (part)	517	12	34	21	27	31	67	124	139	41	15	6
Manchester, NH PMSA	28,659	1,395	2,384	2,406	2,239	2,641	4,569	5,820	4,831	1,540	561	273
Nashua, NH PMSA	21,565	690	1,364	1,509	1,574	1,703	3,150	3,834	4,490	1,997	857	397
Portsmouth--Rochester, NH--ME PMSA (part)	27,427	1,098	2,455	2,518	2,008	2,212	4,201	5,580	4,871	1,436	752	296

**INCOME RELATIVE TO AREA MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME - RENTERS - % WITHIN INCOME RANGE**

Area	Under 30% MAI	Under 50% MAI	Under 60% MAI	Under 80% MAI	Under 100% MAI	Under 120% MAI	Above 120% MAI
Belknap County	24.0%	44.9%	54.1%	68.9%	80.1%	85.4%	14.6%
Carroll County	22.2%	41.1%	50.1%	65.7%	76.6%	83.8%	16.2%
Cheshire County	25.9%	45.5%	54.7%	69.5%	80.7%	86.3%	13.7%
Coos County	29.6%	49.5%	57.8%	71.5%	81.1%	88.9%	11.1%
Grafton County	26.1%	46.0%	54.5%	69.2%	81.3%	86.3%	13.7%
Hillsborough County	25.7%	46.0%	54.9%	70.2%	80.6%	92.9%	7.1%
Merrimack County	26.8%	47.3%	56.9%	71.8%	81.5%	88.1%	11.9%
Rockingham County	21.7%	40.0%	48.8%	64.9%	76.0%	86.0%	14.0%
Strafford County	27.6%	47.5%	57.2%	73.5%	83.4%	90.8%	9.2%
Sullivan County	25.7%	46.1%	54.2%	68.6%	80.3%	86.5%	13.5%
New Hampshire	25.3%	45.1%	54.1%	69.5%	80.1%	89.2%	10.8%
Boston, MA--NH PMSA (part)	26.2%	46.8%	56.9%	74.0%	85.8%	99.8%	0.2%
Lawrence, MA--NH PMSA (part)	20.0%	40.6%	50.2%	66.6%	77.8%	88.1%	11.9%
Lowell, MA--NH PMSA (part)	18.2%	35.0%	45.3%	64.8%	79.3%	97.7%	2.3%
Manchester, NH PMSA	26.1%	46.4%	55.7%	71.4%	81.4%	89.5%	10.5%
Nashua, NH PMSA	24.3%	44.6%	52.9%	67.5%	78.8%	96.5%	3.5%
Portsmouth--Rochester, NH--ME PMSA (part)	25.9%	44.1%	53.1%	69.0%	79.3%	87.6%	12.4%

**Table 10**

**NON INSTITUTIONAL POPULATION AGE 65 AND OLDER BY PRESENCE OF SELECTED DISABILITIES IN 2000**

<b>AGE AND DISABILITY LEVEL (1)</b>	Belknap County	Carroll County	Cheshire County	Coos County	Grafton County	Hillsborough County	Merrimack County	Rockingham County	Strafford County	Sullivan County	State of NH	Lawrence PMSA (NH part)	Manchester PMSA	Nashua PMSA	Portsmouth PMSA (NH part)
AGE 65-74 NON-INSTITUTIONAL POPULATION	4,471	4,294	5,228	3,081	5,617	20,745	8,375	15,491	6,913	3,419	77,634	6,279	10,736	9,700	13,139
AGE 75+ NON-INSTITUTIONAL POPULATION	3,451	3,187	4,270	2,609	4,570	17,220	7,206	11,261	5,007	2,663	61,444	4,248	9,614	7,229	10,241
AGE 65+ NON-INSTITUTIONAL POPULATION	7,922	7,481	9,498	5,690	10,187	37,965	15,581	26,752	11,920	6,082	139,078	10,527	20,350	16,929	23,380
<b>SELF-CARE DISABILITY</b>															
<b>AGE 65-74</b>															
WITH SELF-CARE DISABILITY	155	141	267	127	183	878	327	592	296	98	3,064	237	450	446	533
PERCENT WITH THIS DISABILITY	3.5%	3.3%	5.1%	4.1%	3.3%	4.2%	3.9%	3.8%	4.3%	2.9%	3.9%	3.8%	4.2%	4.6%	4.1%
<b>AGE 75 +</b>															
WITH SELF-CARE DISABILITY	472	357	414	331	615	2,078	643	1,352	519	263	7,044	553	1,163	924	1,099
PERCENT WITH THIS DISABILITY	13.7%	11.2%	9.7%	12.7%	13.5%	12.1%	8.9%	12.0%	10.4%	9.9%	11.5%	13.0%	12.1%	12.8%	10.7%
<b>TOTAL AGE 65+</b>															
WITH SELF-CARE DISABILITY	627	498	681	458	798	2,956	970	1,944	815	361	10,108	790	1,613	1,370	1,632
PERCENT WITH THIS DISABILITY	7.9%	6.7%	7.2%	8.0%	7.8%	7.8%	6.2%	7.3%	6.8%	5.9%	7.3%	7.5%	7.9%	8.1%	7.0%
<b>MOBILITY-RELATED DISABILITY</b>															
<b>AGE 65-74</b>															
WITH GO-OUTSIDE-HOME DISABILITY	374	305	531	363	399	2,311	869	1,598	681	275	7,706	613	1,431	992	1,336
PERCENT WITH THIS DISABILITY	8.4%	7.1%	10.2%	11.8%	7.1%	11.1%	10.4%	10.3%	9.9%	8.0%	9.9%	9.8%	13.3%	10.2%	10.2%
<b>AGE 75+</b>															
WITH GO-OUTSIDE-HOME DISABILITY	773	638	1,049	687	1,137	4,818	1,527	2,454	1,141	673	14,897	934	2,778	1,967	2,236
PERCENT WITH THIS DISABILITY	22.4%	20.0%	24.6%	26.3%	24.9%	28.0%	21.2%	21.8%	22.8%	25.3%	24.2%	22.0%	28.9%	27.2%	21.8%
<b>TOTAL AGE 65+</b>															
WITH GO-OUTSIDE-HOME DISABILITY	1,147	943	1,580	1,050	1,536	7,129	2,396	4,052	1,822	948	22,603	1,547	4,209	2,959	3,572
PERCENT WITH THIS DISABILITY	14.5%	12.6%	16.6%	18.5%	15.1%	18.8%	15.4%	15.1%	15.3%	15.6%	16.3%	14.7%	20.7%	17.5%	15.3%

(1) There is overlap between the two disability levels tabulated independently above. Most persons with a self-care disability will also have mobility limitations.