



STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

DRAFT

CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT

2022



Table of Contents

DISCLAIMER	3
CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes.....	3
CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted.....	9
CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)	10
CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)	16
CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)	18
CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)	22
CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)	23
CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230	25
CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c).....	25
CR-50 - HOME 24 CFR 91.520(d)	26
CR-56 - HTF 91.520(h)	28
CR-58 – Section 3.....	29
CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)	30
CR-65 - Persons Assisted.....	34
CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes	37
CR-75 – Expenditures.....	39

DISCLAIMER

The contents of this document are presented in the exact format as required by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development' (HUD) Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS). This content is downloaded directly from IDIS to allow citizens participation and public comment. The Consolidated Plan is carried out through Annual Action Plans, which provide a concise summary of the actions, activities, and the specific federal and non-federal resources that will be used each year to address the priority needs and specific goals identified by the Consolidated Plan. Grantees report on accomplishments and progress toward Consolidated Plan goals in the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER). IDIS is a nationwide database and provides HUD with current information regarding the program activities underway across the Nation, including funding data. HUD uses this information to report to Congress and monitor grantees. New Hampshire's Grantees include the Bureau of Housing Supports (BHS). All Grantees must enter their information directly into IDIS. Each section requires specific information from the various programs in New Hampshire.

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

During 2022 HOME funds contributed to the construction of 197 units of affordable housing all which were general occupancy housing. All 197 units were new construction.

During 2022 HTF funds were contributed to the construction of 102 units of affordable housing. 91 of those units were new construction while 11 were rehabilitation.

With the rising cost of construction, the number of units that can be created with each new project, and the number of projects able to move forward successfully is becoming smaller and has been on a decline. Many projects are currently renting up and have not been closed out in IDIS yet.

212 units have entered into a rental assistance contract for the 811 Project Rental Assistance Program. There are currently 170 occupied units.

In 2022, the CDBG program rehabbed and/or preserved 128 housing units, created and/or retained 15 jobs, provided TA to 241 micro businesses, assisted 18,223 persons through public facility improvements and/or public services, and 0 persons through emergency grants. CDFA reports outcomes based on grantee activity accomplishments in the year they are reported to CDFA and allocates the outcomes to the accomplishment that best fits the activity. CDBG allows for a wide range of activities that are funded in competitive grant rounds. As CDFA does not know what applicants will apply for in a given year, it is difficult to set goals except in the very broadest sense. This is further complicated by the timing of when activities are completed and reported in IDIS and whether the activity fits with the corresponding outcome from the Consolidated Plan. Accomplishments do not closely match estimates in any category in the tables due to the subjective nature of estimating and then measuring accomplishments.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee’s program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
811 Project Rental Assistance for SMI	Affordable Housing	Section 811: \$	Other	Other	175	187	106.86%	150	165	110.00%
Catalyze Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	450	28	6.22%	90	3	3.33%
Catalyze Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	0	4				

Emergency Shelter Response	Homeless		Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	2000	1696	84.80%			
Emphasize Building Capacity	Affordable Housing Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	120	0	0.00%	24	0	0.00%
Emphasize Building Capacity	Affordable Housing Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	20	0	0.00%	20	0	0.00%
Emphasize Building Capacity	Affordable Housing Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	10	0	0.00%	10	0	0.00%
Expand and Improve Housing Opportunities	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	165	34	20.61%	33	0	0.00%
Expand and Improve Housing Opportunities	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	335	390	116.42%	67	128	191.04%
Expand and Improve Housing Opportunities	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	0	0				

Expand and Improve Housing Opportunities	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	0	0				
Expand and Improve Housing Opportunities	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	0	0				
Expand and Improve Housing Opportunities	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$	Housing for People with HIV/AIDS added	Household Housing Unit	0	0				
Improve and Create Public Facilities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	20000	28377	141.89%			
Improve and Create Public Facilities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted		0		400	13814	3,453.50%
Multifamily Affordable Rental Production	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$ / HTF: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	750	229	30.53%	150	34	22.67%
Multifamily Affordable Rental Production	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$ / HTF: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	0	14		0	11	

Preservation of Affordable Rental Properties	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	375	0	0.00%	50	0	0.00%
Promote Housing Stability	Homeless	ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	400	250	62.50%	70	66	94.29%
Promote Housing Stability	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	100	144	144.00%	70	69	98.57%
Respond to Unforeseen Challenges	Affordable Housing Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	250	613	245.20%	50	0	0.00%
Strengthen Small Businesses	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	0	13		15	12	80.00%
Strengthen Small Businesses	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	1700	482	28.35%	400	241	60.25%
TBRA/VASH for Homeless Veterans	Affordable Housing	HUD-VASH: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	925	207	22.38%	185	189	102.16%
Unsheltered Increased identification/engagement	Homeless	ESG: \$	Other	Other	1000	1013	101.30%	1000	937	93.70%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

HOME/LIHTC: Through New Hampshire Housing's LIHTC Qualified Allocation Plan competitive scoring allows for diverse projects of the best quality and the most efficient use of resources that are spread throughout the state. Other primary priorities drive the project selection including the addition of new units to the affordable housing inventory, income targeting, service enrichment, project readiness to proceed, non-profit sponsored housing and energy efficiency.

CDBG allows for a wide range of activities that are funded in competitive grant rounds. It is difficult to set goals except in the very broadest sense because CDFA does not know each year what type of projects will apply and be awarded funding.

Over the period of this Action Plan, CDFA has continued to see an increase in the number of people served as a result of a large number of beneficiaries from several CDBG-CV funded public services projects. Projects that positively impact the state's housing shortage and projects that are related to childcare and mental health are high priority areas in NH and our scoring criteria prioritizes these projects.

The allocation of funds in the approved 2022 Annual Plan is approximately 30/30/30 housing, public facilities and economic development. We continue to encourage more housing applications. The amount available for microenterprise has been increased. The amount available for Planning Studies has been increased to \$25,000 and applications can now be submitted on a rolling basis to help with predevelopment that will lead to CDBG implementation grants.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HTF
White	4,339	40	0	21
Black or African American	89	4	40	2
Asian	49	1	5	0
American Indian or American Native	4	0	10	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	3	0	1	0
Total	4,484	45	56	23
Hispanic	125	0	76	0
Not Hispanic	4,359	45	0	23

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

HOME/HTF: According to New Hampshire Housing's 2020 Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice the majority of the state's residents are non-Latino Whites. Non-White groups in the state account for a very small percentage of the population, with a greater presence in the entitlement cities of Manchester and Nashua than elsewhere.

ESG: 36 individuals identified in the "multiple races" category.

CDBG: Grantee reporting indicates that the CDBG program served a population with a race/ethnicity make-up that is consistent with that of the non-entitlement communities that the program serves.

CDFA continues to prioritize providing outreach and technical assistance to minority populations. Though the SBA funded Community Navigator program we are working to identify best practices in this area and to also provide TA to community partners doing community development work.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	11,194,790	
HOME	public - federal	10,958,696	3,592,208
ESG	public - federal	944,463	722,193
HTF	public - federal	8,159,591	554,415
HUD-VASH	public - federal	1,137,000	1,137,000
LIHTC	public - federal	3,482,390	3,482,390
Section 811	public - federal	500,000	500,000
Other	public - federal	693,775	693,775

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

HOME Investment Partnerships Program, Low Income Housing Tax Credits, Housing Trust Fund and state Affordable Housing Funds as well as some TCAP funds and owners' equity are the funds made available to projects during 2022. HUD VASH funding has been increased to support 189 units of Tenant Based Rental Assistance and 24 units of Project Based Rental Assistance. New Hampshire Housing has 340 vouchers under the Mainstream Housing Program. Mainstream vouchers serve households that include a non-elderly person(s) with disabilities, defined as any family that includes a person with disabilities who is at least 18 years old and not yet 62 years old.

\$5M in State Community Development Tax Credits are made available annually in addition to CDBG funds.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
New Hampshire	100	100	

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

HOME:

Friars Court Phase I: 47 units of general occupancy housing, 4 HOME assisted, located in Hudson NH.

Heater Landing: 44 units of general occupancy housing, 1 HOME assisted, located in Lebanon NH.

Apple Ridge Phase II: 34 units of general occupancy housing, 1 HOME assisted, located in Rochester NH.

Wallace Farm Phase II 72 units of general occupancy housing, 16 HOME assisted, located in Londonderry NH.

HTF:

Friars Court Phase I: 47 units of general occupancy housing, 10 HTF assisted, located in Hudson NH.

Heater Landing: 44 units of general occupancy housing, 2 HTF assisted, located in Lebanon NH.

434 Union Street: 11 units of special residential housing, 8 HTF assisted, located in Manchester NH.

CDBG does not target particular geographic areas for funding. In 2022, municipalities receiving funds included Conway, Claremont, Laconia, Newport, and several others across the state.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

No publicly owned land was available for affordable housing in 2022.

HOME: HOME funds are invested in new projects as development subsidy to "buy" deeper income targeting, leverage private resources with Low Income Housing Tax Credit along with additional subsidy raised through a variety of sources including the Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston, private donations and state and local CDBG. National Housing Trust Fund (HTF) has no match requirements, nonetheless resources will be available for us in Low Income Housing Tax Credit projects which plan units affordable to extremely low income households. Scoring incentives will favor further restriction of those units to serve particular populations. HTF units developed without Low Income Housing Tax Credits may leverage HOME or non-federal funds and scoring incentives will be available proportional to the leveraging of other funds.

CDBG requires match in both Economic Development and certain Public Facility projects. Most CDBG projects boast some level of leverage, even if minimal. In 2022, newly funded projects had numerous sources of leverage including the municipality, subrecipient equity, banks, Regional Development Corporations, Low Income Housing Tax Credits, other NHHFA funding, Northern Borders Regional Commission, and USDA funds.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	918,993
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	340,773
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	1,259,766
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	0
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	1,259,766

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
3507 West Swanzey	06/24/2022	217,700	0	0	0	0	0	217,700
3512 Kelley Falls	09/21/2022	37,880	0	0	0	0	0	37,880
Sanborn Crossing	12/13/2018	0	0	0	0	0	85,193	85,193

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
0	0	0	0	0

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			
Number	0	0	0			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0			
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0		0		
Businesses Displaced		0		0		
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0		0		
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0		0		
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	20	105
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	569	702
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	50	11
Total	639	818

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	339	482
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	183	197
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	117	135
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	0	4
Total	639	818

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

HOME/HTF: Due to the fairly unpredictable project completion timing, the numbers for end of the year beneficiary counts are uncertain when calculating a goal. The numeric goals are projected, and are not always the best representation of what is able to be completed throughout the year. Grants are awarded on a competitive basis and it is difficult to know all of the projects that will be funded in a given year, what projects will be completed and how many individuals and/or families will benefit from these projects. The actual numbers represent the outcomes and completion of projects in the year 2022.

The vast majority of persons served with CDBG funds are under 60% or 30% of the Area Median Income. The CDBG rating and ranking system prioritizes projects that benefit individuals with highest needs.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

HOME/HTF: The goal number fluctuates year to year as do the outcomes, given the flow of applications and the nature of the development pipeline. Future annual action goals will continue to demonstrate this.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual	HTF Actual
Extremely Low-income	84	3	23
Low-income	43	12	
Moderate-income	1	7	
Total	128	22	

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

HOME: The HOME funds in 2022 served 3 extremely low-income households, 12 low-income households and 7 moderate-income households as shown in the beneficiary data.

HTF: The HTF funds in 2022 all served extremely low-income households, as shown in the beneficiary data.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

ESG funds assisted to increase the housing and homelessness systems during 2022 across the state of New Hampshire. NH COCs continue to work to reduce homelessness through a variety of services designed to identify, engage and support persons experiencing homelessness -especially unsheltered persons. The statewide CES (Coordinated Entry System) standardizes how households are assessed, prioritized, and referred to housing and services. Outreach is conducted with multidisciplinary stakeholders for a community wide response. This ensures complete coverage and identification and prioritization of those most vulnerable through the new COC Common assessment tool. Outreach collaboratives promote strategic leveraging of resources. Examples of dedicated outreach programs include ESG Street Outreach, SAMHSA Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH), SAMHSA Grants for the Benefit of Homeless Individuals (GBHI), VAMC Outreach Social Workers, Healthcare for the Homeless and Waypoint Youth Outreach. Outreach efforts are coordinated with broader networks of services who may encounter individuals experiencing homelessness but primary role is broader; police and fire, SUD/ MAT providers, regional public health networks, faith-based organizations, Medicaid...etc. This gives varied perspectives with client centered, culturally responsive lens. All outreach is housing focused and Housing First. Outreach workers address urgent physical needs, like providing meals, blankets, clothes, or toiletries; connect to programs targeted to persons experiencing homelessness, mainstream social services and housing programs. Evidenced based practices such as Motivational Interviewing, Trauma Informed Care, and Harm Reduction are provided to promote positive outcomes. NH recognized that during the pandemic, those experiencing homelessness were at high risk of coronavirus and that lack of housing contributes to overall poor physical and mental health outcomes. Expanding street outreach and shelter operations increased identification and equitable access to promote more housing connections, decrease the number of persons unsheltered. Outreach conducts ongoing pandemic safety education, PPE connections, mobile showers and hand washing stations, the rollout of testing and vaccination strategies for persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness in partnership with regional public health networks.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

NH has implemented a statewide Coordinated Entry System (CES) which allows for person centered assessment of needs and services. Regional Access points assess individuals and families identified and connect to services listed above, including emergency shelter and transitional housing. Coordinated Entry and outreach do not require individuals to enter emergency shelter or transitional housing as a prerequisite to accessing permanent housing, but street outreach does make immediate connections to emergency shelter or temporary housing to provide safe options

for individuals and families while they are on a pathway to permanent housing. The goal of emergency shelter and transitional housing in NH is to offer immediate and easy access to safe and decent shelter to anyone that needs it and then to rehouse people as quickly as possible. Trainings on Evidenced Based Practices such as Trauma Informed Care, Motivational Interviewing and Harm Reduction are also provided to Emergency Shelter and Transitional Housing staff, aimed at client centered and strengths-based approaches for persons served. Providers also have protocols to ensure that people fleeing domestic violence, as well as dating violence, sexual assault, trafficking or stalking have safe and confidential access to the coordinated entry and sheltering process. In 2020, the Domestic Violence RAP was established for anyone fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence (DV) to provide linkages to emergency shelter and housing.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

NH implements a comprehensive system to help low income individuals and families avoid entering into the homelessness experience. Through CES, Diversion is conducted with all persons identified. This emphasizes using individuals' natural supports and strengths to problem solve potential resolutions in avoiding the homelessness experience. In the event the households cannot be safely diverted, prevention programs such as the Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Homelessness Prevention or RRH, Supportive Services for Veteran Families (SSVF) Homelessness, other federal CARES and American Recuse Plan funding such as the NH Emergency Rental Assistance Program (NH-ERAP), municipality financial assistance, and faith based financial assistance networks are alerted for collaboration and coordination of community partners, public and privately funded service and support systems. NH leverages eviction prevention and homelessness prevention programs to maximize the impact and best match household circumstance to the resources they need. Homelessness prevention extends beyond just eviction prevention to serve those discharging from publicly funded institutions and systems of care. Through CES, individuals and families are connected to navigators to match with housing programs and services based on the circumstance. These navigators help households address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs. For those exiting healthcare facilities for example, in addition to RRH and PSH options, targeted transitional housing such as Grant and Per Diem's "Hospital to Home" and Harbor Homes Transitional Housing Program for those exiting the NH State Hospital are available, and the Housing Bridge Subsidy Program with wraparound services to put individuals on a pathway to permanent housing. Projects such as the Family Unification Program and Foster Youth to Independence Initiative leverage partnerships with housing authorities and, DCYF and community partners for families who are unable to secure affordable housing for their children or dependents, former foster youth at risk of homelessness, youth transitioning out of foster care and families whose children are placed in care by the state. Additionally, working with services such as

VAMC's Veterans Justice Outreach and Re-entry Clinicians increases identification of individuals who would otherwise discharge into homelessness from incarceration. In 2020, the Governor's Council on Housing Stability was established and produced a Statewide Plan to End Homelessness in NH. One of the ongoing community measures of the homelessness system is to increase discharges from public institutions directly into stable housing, averting homelessness(i.e. corrections, recovery homes, mental health facilities).

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

The continuum of cares coordinated entry processes provide direct entry points to accessing PH including affordable housing. Beyond connections to COC and ESG housing options, navigators are trained to quickly connect with mainstream housing and service resources which will provide community linkages for ongoing housing stability. System planning groups such as the NH Housing and Community Development Planning council, the COCs, Local Service Delivery Networks and more meet regularly to strategically plan and review access to affordable housing units and designing inclusive programming to best leverage federal funding. For example, ESG providers working with households 6 months post rental assistance for case management services aimed at preventing a return to homelessness. Regarding the metrics, NH is currently engaged in Ending Veteran, Youth and Chronic Homelessness initiatives. These initiatives measure the amount of newly identified persons in each category, the length of time in the homelessness experience and the amount of persons housed within a 90 day period. When identified, providers engaged in a diversion/ problem solving discussion, and if unable to be diverted, enter individuals into the Coordinated Entry Project. Providers then assess household for prioritization using the COC common assessment tool. This standardized system ensures more objective, equitable, access to housing programs based upon vulnerability. Regional lists are then pulled and case conferencing is conducted to identify any outstanding barriers which may be causing the household to extend their time in the homelessness experience, or to identify housing retention barriers which may cause households to reenter the homelessness experience, with solutions discussed. Outcomes from this list are measured to determine effectiveness of interventions. Outcomes such as reducing the length of time spent homeless, exits to PH, reductions of returns to homelessness are outcome measurements in funding contracts to institutionalize the objectives of the funds. As such, the use of data is crucial in measuring the impact and housing outcomes for persons experiencing homelessness. In 2019, BHS transitioned to a new HMIS vendor the Institute for Community Alliances (ICA) to better meet the data needs of the three COCs. The statewide Homeless Management Information System data system allows for better coordination and efficiency with the system, along with the ability to spot trends and respond accordingly. As the HMIS Lead, ICA can better track performance and make program and system adjustments to improve its performance and better serve

persons experiencing homelessness. This also allows for more visual, transparent data used to educate stakeholders such as landlords, the business community and funders on additional investments needed in affordable housing.

The largest challenges providers face in meeting the outcome goals above are the lack of available affordable housing units overall, and landlords willing to accept and work with households served.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

Some of New Hampshire's Public housing Authorities have been active as developers of additional affordable housing utilizing HOME, CDBG, LIHTC, HTF and other affordable housing resources. For several reasons including their non-profit status and well-established management capacity, they compete favorably for resources and are welcome applicants. Their expertise and experience in operating affordable housing for low income households helps them compete favorably for affordable housing financing.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

New Hampshire Housing markets all Consolidated Plan public participation opportunities to the residents of each of New Hampshire's Public Housing Authorities. Additionally, other targeted low income groups are invited to participate in public meetings in order to obtain comments about the beneficiary targeting and distribution of HUD CPD funds. A few different types of homeownership assistance are available for and marketed to public housing and housing choice voucher households.

Households with Housing Choice Vouchers or other tenant-based rental assistance are able to use that assistance to make mortgage payments. As of the end of 2022 there were 142 households participating in New Hampshire Housing's Homeownership Assistance Program. Financial literacy, first time homebuyer education, down payment assistance, and Individual Development Accounts with donated match are either administered by partners and supported by or provided directly by New Hampshire Housing.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

There are no PHA's in NH designated as troubled.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

See 2020 Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice in New Hampshire on our website at: <https://www.nhhfa.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/2020-Analysis-Impediments-Fair-Housing-ChoiceNH.pdf>

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Underserved needs are generally due to limited resources disproportional to need. We go to great lengths to be good stewards of public dollars and address as many needs of beneficiary households as effectively as we can, and openly solicit input from partners, constituents, interested parties, and others on how to do this most effectively.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

New Hampshire Housing's design and construction standards require all rehab projects in buildings built prior to 1978 where children under 6 years may be residing to mitigate all lead-based paint hazards. In addition, New Hampshire Housing received \$4,983,542 for the continued abatement of lead-based paint hazards throughout the state. New Hampshire Housing has received \$6,000,000 from the State of NH for the State Lead Paint Hazard Remediation Fund Program. Over this past year New Hampshire Housing has overseen lead hazard control within development projects in our portfolio as well as other privately owned multifamily and single-family housing, clearing 119 units during 2022. In addition, New Hampshire Housing's asset management team inspects units annually and requires mitigation of any suspected lead-based paint hazards.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

New Hampshire Housing's Family Self Sufficiency program includes access to partially subsidized Individual Development Accounts that can help households save for education to improve earnings or to accumulate a down payment for a home purchase or down payment of a reliable automobile to access education, work, and greater housing choice.

Job creation and retention are goals of CDBG. New Hampshire's CDBG program created or retained 15 jobs during 2022. Additionally, 241 businesses benefited from the development or improvement of entrepreneurial skills via the microenterprise set-aside of CDBG funds.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Efforts are constantly made to improve the technical skills of the non-profit and municipal sector. CDFA provides operational and capacity building support to the non-profit community through allocation of state tax credits.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The Housing and Community Development Planning Council (the HCDPC), which provides consultation to New Hampshire's Consolidated Planning process, includes public and private housing representatives as well as government and private nonprofit social service agencies. The HCDPC is chaired by New Hampshire Housing Finance Authority as the lead agency for the Consolidated Planning process for the state. Additionally, New Hampshire Housing Finance Authority is represented on the Governor's Housing Stability Council, including this Council's Housing Instability & Homelessness System Workgroup, the Governor's Advisory Commission on Mental Health and the Corrections System, the Community Development Block Grant Program Advisory Council, New Hampshire's Mental Health Planning and Advisory Council, the State of NH Benefits Cliff Effect Working Group and the Council for Thriving Children. Representatives of the Community Development Finance Authority (CDFA) and the Bureau of Housing Supports actively participate in New Hampshire's Workforce Housing Council. CDFA is represented on the Governor's Council for Housing Stability, The NH Early Childhood Funders Collaborative, and the National Collaborative for Digital Equity's Council on Systemic Inclusion. And the Bureau Chief for the State's Bureau of Housing Supports leads the Housing Instability and Homelessness Systems Workgroup of the state's Housing Stability Council, as well as participates in the Whole Family Approach to Jobs Cliff Effect Workgroup, Housing Action NH, and the NH HMIS Advisory Committee.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

As required, VAWA emergency transfer request and outcome data is kept by all HOME, HTF and ESG subgrantees and reported annually by New Hampshire Housing and the Bureau of Housing Supports. There were no emergency transfers requested under 24 CFR 5.2005(e) and 24 CFR 92.359 pertaining to victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, including data on the outcomes of such requests for 2022, therefore there are no outcomes to report.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

Public comments on all Consolidated Plans and Performance reports (CAPER) are welcomed and received at all times. A notice of the comment period for the Draft CAPER was published in a newspaper with statewide circulation. A copy of this notice is an attachment to this CAPER. Additionally, the DRAFT CAPER is announced via email to partners, constituents, and known interested parties as well as posted on NHHFA and CDFA websites. New Hampshire Housing provides a contact person for anyone who has issues accessing the DRAFT CAPER online and citizens may request a paper copy of the CAPER.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

In 2022, there were no substantive changes in program objectives to the New Hampshire CDBG program. CDFA continued a strategic planning process for the CDBG program that includes input from internal and external stakeholders. CDFA continues to update its online Application and Program Guide and Implementation Guides annually. These guides are important resources for applicants and grantees that helps ensure CDFA maintain appropriate levels of compliance with federal and state rules and regulations, as well as provides our partners thorough guidance on how to utilize the CDBG program. We continue to address the challenges that emerged during the COVID 19 pandemic, including the challenging construction environment. We will continue to reevaluate and adapt our programs to meet the needs of communities and non-profit organizations in our state.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

CR-50 - HOME 24 CFR 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in 24 CFR §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

The properties with HOME funds inspected this past were: Cottage Hotel, Laurette Sweeney Apartments, Pleasant Street Apartments, Manchester Veterans Transitional Housing, Pinecrest Apartments, McAuley Commons, Stone Arch Senior Center, Harriman Hill, Plymouth Woods, White Birch, Lowell Street, Brookbend East, Snowbrook Meadow Village, Whitaker Place, Transitional Living, Cornerstone, Renaissance 7, Avery Hill, Riverbend, 18 Union Street, South End 2000, Laurette Sweeney Apartments Phase 2, Conway Pines, Six Meeting Place, The Way Home, Pine Hill, Millyard Families II, Sherburne Woods, Notre Dame Apartments, Brown School, Bow-Glen, Keene East Side Senior Housing, Lisbon Inn, Woodbury Mills, Cotton Mill, The Meadows at Grapevine Drive Phase I, Franklin Light and Power Mill, Townhomes at Whittemore II and Chandler Place Phase II.

A summary of general issues that are typically detected during an inspection are issues with the tenant files such as; forms not having signatures; missing forms and/or addendums to the lease; miscalculation of tenant income or assets, however, this usually does not result in a tenant being found to be ineligible to occupy an assisted unit; and failure to fully complete all information in the tenant income certification form. As for defects, generally found in the physical structure of the property during and inspection, these typically are minor issues such as: an exit sign needing a bulb replacement, a stopper missing in a sink, a small crack in a walkway or parking lot that could become a trip hazard if left unattended, small rips or holes in carpeting or small holes in the siding to the building. If a defect is an emergency, it is corrected within 24 hours of discovery; all other defects are corrected within 30 days of discovery.

All deficiencies are tracked by property and the findings are part of the review and kept with the project files.

All projects that required an inspection this year had one. There were no missed reviews.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 24 CFR 91.520(e) and 24 CFR 92.351(a)

The authority implemented a set of actions to meet this requirement for HOME Investment in rental housing. Requirements include approval of a project specific Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Plan (AFHMP), the provision of information to tenants and application review for compliance with applicable federal site and neighborhood standards. In addition, the Authority sponsors the Granite State

Managers Association to hold annual Fair Housing Trainings to members and affiliates of developers and managers of affordable housing. Authority Asset Management staff attends annual trainings.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

Heater Landing created a total of 44 general occupancy units in Lebanon, NH with 1 of those units being HOME assisted. That 1 unit was at 30%-50% AMI. \$152,000 was used in Program Income to fund this project.

Apple Ridge created a total of 34 general occupancy units in Rochester, NH with 1 of those units being HOME assisted. That 1 unit was at 30%-50% AMI. \$208,000 was used in Program Income to fund this project.

Wallace Farms created a total of 72 general occupancy units in Londonderry, NH with 16 of those units being HOME assisted. 2 units at 0%-30% AMI, 12 units at 30%-50% AMI and 2 units at 50%-60% AMI. \$1,075,989.91 was used in Program Income to fund this project.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 24 CFR 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 24 CFR 91.320(j)

HOME is used to provide affordable housing units to households at 50% or less of AMI in coordination with LIHTC projects. In most cases HOME is blended into LIHTC projects, and it is rarely used as standalone funding in projects not using LIHTC. New Hampshire Housing will continue to support local and regional workforce housing efforts to help municipalities eliminate barriers to affordable housing development and will continue to track expiring use and try to refinance properties that could be at risk of being lost as affordable housing inventory. The method of distribution for Low Income Housing Tax Credits and HOME resources are reexamined and tweaked annually with considerable input from the affordable housing community so that the most compelling needs are addressed, and emerging issues are adapted to.

CR-56 - HTF 91.520(h)

Describe the extent to which the grantee complied with its approved HTF allocation plan and the requirements of 24 CFR part 93.

At this point nineteen projects have been funded with HTF. Three were closed out in 2022 for a total of 23 units of affordable housing.

As required, VAWA emergency transfer request and outcome data is kept by all HOME, HTF and ESG subgrantees and reported annually by New Hampshire Housing and the Bureau of Housing Supports. There were no emergency transfers requested under 24 CFR 5.2005(e) and 24 CFR 92.359 pertaining to victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, including data on the outcomes of such requests for 2022, therefore there are no outcomes to report.

Tenure Type	0 – 30% AMI	0% of 30+ to poverty line (when poverty line is higher than 30% AMI)	% of the higher of 30+ AMI or poverty line to 50% AMI	Total Occupied Units	Units Completed, Not Occupied	Total Completed Units
Rental	23	0	0	23	0	23
Homebuyer	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 15 - CR-56 HTF Units in HTF activities completed during the period

CR-58 – Section 3

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Total Labor Hours	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Total Number of Activities	33	1	0	0	0
Total Labor Hours	46,522	0			
Total Section 3 Worker Hours	8,845	0			
Total Targeted Section 3 Worker Hours	6,688	0			

Table 14 – Total Labor Hours

Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Public Housing Targeted Workers		1			
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Other Funding Targeted Workers.		1			
Direct, on-the job training (including apprenticeships).					
Indirect training such as arranging for, contracting for, or paying tuition for, off-site training.					
Technical assistance to help Section 3 workers compete for jobs (e.g., resume assistance, coaching).		1			
Outreach efforts to identify and secure bids from Section 3 business concerns.	2				
Technical assistance to help Section 3 business concerns understand and bid on contracts.					
Division of contracts into smaller jobs to facilitate participation by Section 3 business concerns.					
Provided or connected residents with assistance in seeking employment including: drafting resumes, preparing for interviews, finding job opportunities, connecting residents to job placement services.					
Held one or more job fairs.					
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that can provide direct services or referrals.		1			
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that provide one or more of the following: work readiness health screenings, interview clothing, uniforms, test fees, transportation.					
Assisted residents with finding child care.					
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend community college or a four year educational institution.					
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend vocational/technical training.					
Assisted residents to obtain financial literacy training and/or coaching.		1			
Bonding assistance, guaranties, or other efforts to support viable bids from Section 3 business concerns.					
Provided or connected residents with training on computer use or online technologies.					
Promoting the use of a business registry designed to create opportunities for disadvantaged and small businesses.					
Outreach, engagement, or referrals with the state one-stop system, as designed in Section 121(e)(2) of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act.					
Other.	6				

Table 15 – Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program

Narrative

A legal notice is posted statewide before construction begins on a project to ensure that all businesses and residents are made aware of and given an equal opportunity to apply for the job opportunities.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	NEW HAMPSHIRE
Organizational DUNS Number	011040545
UEI	
EIN/TIN Number	026000618
Identify the Field Office	BOSTON
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	New Hampshire Balance of State CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Mrs
First Name	Melissa
Middle Name	
Last Name	Hatfield
Suffix	
Title	Bureau Administrator

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	129 Pleasant St
Street Address 2	
City	Concord
State	NH
ZIP Code	-
Phone Number	6032719197
Extension	
Fax Number	
Email Address	Melissa.Hatfield@dhhs.nh.gov

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	Ms
--------	----

First Name Betsy
Last Name O'Connor
Suffix
Title Program Specialist III
Phone Number 6032719196
Extension
Email Address Betsy.O'Connor@dhhs.nh.gov

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 07/01/2022
Program Year End Date 06/30/2023

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: NASHUA SOUP KITCHEN AND SHELTER
City: NASHUA SOUP KITCHEN AND SHELTER
State: NH
Zip Code: 99999,
DUNS Number:
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 87287

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: SOUTHERN NEW HAMPSHIRE SERVICES, INC
City: MANCHESTER
State: NH
Zip Code: 03108,
DUNS Number:
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 87287

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: BELKNAP MERRIMACK CAP

City: Concord

State: NH

Zip Code: 03302, 1016

DUNS Number: 073997504

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 87287

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: TRICOUNTY CAP

City: Berlin

State: NH

Zip Code: 03570, 1911

DUNS Number: 073975708

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 87287

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: SOUTHWESTERN COMMUNITY SERVICES

City: Keene

State: NH

Zip Code: 03431, 3748

DUNS Number: 081251381

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 87287

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Community Action Partnership of Strafford County

City: Dover

State: NH

Zip Code: 03820, 3414

DUNS Number: 099356586

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 87287

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Easter Seals NH, Inc.

City: Manchester

State: NH

Zip Code: 03103, 4803

DUNS Number: 085573467

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 87287

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Front Door Agency

City: Nashua

State: NH

Zip Code: 03064, 2328

DUNS Number: 879861474

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 87287

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Institute for Community Alliances

City: Des Moines

State: IA

Zip Code: 50314, 2527

DUNS Number: 149341732

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 81000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: The Mental Health Center of Greater Manchester

City: Manchester

State: NH

Zip Code: 03103, 3628

DUNS Number: 081249823

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 87287

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	19
Children	16
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	35

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	46
Children	24
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	70

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	535
Children	26
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	561

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	600
Children	66
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	666

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	389
Female	266
Transgender	4
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	7
Total	666

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	66
18-24	32
25 and over	567
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	1
Total	666

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	21	0	21	0
Victims of Domestic Violence	2	0	2	0
Elderly	6	1	5	0
HIV/AIDS	1	0	1	0
Chronically Homeless	49	0	49	0
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	36	2	34	0
Chronic Substance Abuse	6	0	6	0
Other Disability	67	6	61	0
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	188	9	179	0

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	0
Total Number of bed-nights provided	0
Capacity Utilization	0.00%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

Goal: At least 70% of households that exit a Rapid Re-housing program or Homelessness Prevention program exit to permanent housing. At least 90% of Street Outreach households will be provided permanent housing referrals. Measurement: This requires a calculation of the percentage of households who exit the rapid re-housing program or Homelessness Prevention program to permanent housing, and housing referrals provided to street outreach households.

Average Length of Stay: Goal: 70% of Rapid Rehousing and Homelessness Prevention households will gain housing stability within 90 days of program entry. Measurement: Percentage of program participant households who resolve housing instability within 90 days of program entry.

Returns to homelessness: Goal: 70% of program participant households will experience housing stability as evidenced by no subsequent episode of homelessness within 12 months of program exit. Measurement: Returns will be measured by the total number of adult program participants with successful exits from the program (with a successful housing outcome), that did not have an emergency shelter stay of at least one night within six months of exiting the program.

Goal: At least 70% of households that exit a Homelessness Prevention program exit to permanent housing. Outcome: 85% of households exited to a permanent housing destination. Through ESG HP, households were able to either sustain the housing situation they entered with or re-locate to a new, permanent housing destination. Goal: 70% of Rapid Rehousing will obtain housing within 90 days of program entry. Outcome: 95% of Rapid Rehousing households obtained PH within 90 days.

Goal: At least 90% of Street Outreach households will be provided permanent housing referrals. Outcome: 100% of street outreach households were provided permanent housing referrals. 2% of street outreach households exited directly to Permanent Housing within the reporting period.

Goal: 70% of program participant households will experience housing stability as evidenced by no subsequent episode of homelessness within 12 months of program exit.

Outcome: 90% of participant households did not re-enter the homelessness experience once housed within 12 months of program exit.

Goal: At least 70% of households that exit a Rapid Re-housing program exit to permanent housing.

Outcome: 67% of Rapid Rehousing households exited to permanent housing destinations.

Households not exited to PH destinations included those re-united with family on a temporary basis, long term rehabilitation or other.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2020	2021	2022
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	131,605	131,605	39,735
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	10,885	96,197	12,865
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	64,747	149,759	13,567
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	207,237	377,561	66,167

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2020	2021	2022
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	87,722	944,170	120,116
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	8,787	223,961	10,195
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	34,338	143,752	39,886
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	130,847	1,311,883	170,196

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2020	2021	2022
Essential Services	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0
Renovation	0	0	0
Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	0	0	0

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2020	2021	2022
Street Outreach	579,624	1,103,954	326,950
HMIS	43,419	62,714	81,000
Administration	58,192	67,597	77,880

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2020	2021	2022
	1,019,319	2,923,709	722,194

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2020	2021	2022
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	0	0	0
State Government	0	0	0
Local Government	0	0	0
Private Funds	0	0	0
Other	152,632	219,207	945,000
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	152,632	219,207	945,000

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2020	2021	2022
	1,171,951	3,142,916	1,667,194

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities