



**2027-2028 QUALIFIED ALLOCATION PLAN
PUBLIC FORUM | August 25, 2025**

**PART I | 1:00 PM ~ NETWORKING & BREAK | 2:30 PM ~ PART II | 3:00 PM
AGENDA**

PART I

Lunch Served!

- Welcome and Introduction
- Forum Objectives
 - Advance new discussion topics
 - Identify additional topics for consideration
- Key Discussion Topics
 - Service Coordination
 - Discuss current requirements
 - Discuss strategies to ensure resident needs are effectively prioritized
 - What modifications should be considered to enhance service delivery and coordination, while remaining flexible
 - Preliminary Applications
 - Discuss current requirements
 - Explore the possibility of reducing documentation to streamline the process and emphasize overall project feasibility during this stage
 - Miscellaneous
 - Cure Period
 - Review the implementation initiated this year (2026 credits) and possible continuation
 - Timely submissions of documentation – required and scoring – remains especially important
 - Provide a timeframe for the issuance of IRS Form 8609
 - Upon complete package submitted
 - Board Waivers
 - Updated waiver rule – to Board of Directors August 28
 - QAP – Open Discussion
 - What is one thing in the QAP that you would definitely keep?
 - What is one thing you would remove?
- Other



- Reminder: as we move through the process – please share your comments sooner rather than later. This allows time for meaningful review and consideration.
- Send comments in writing: QAP@nhhfa.org
- Next Steps
 - Continued internal discussion and review of feedback
 - Scoring – draft recommendation to be published when available – targeting by the end of September
 - Public forum – November (date to be determined)
 - Review and solicit feedback on initial draft QAP

NETWORKING & BREAK

PART II – Universal Design

- Why it Matters
 - Makes developments usable by a wide range of people and accommodates diverse abilities
 - It fosters inclusivity and supports long-term livability
- Current QAP Scoring
 - Provides an incentive where at least 15% of the total units are Type A/Fully Accessible
- Should NH Housing further incentivize universal design? Considerations:
 - Low-cost/cost effectiveness
 - What UD features yield high resident benefit without significantly increasing cost?
 - Compatibility with other codes and requirements (Fair Housing Act, etc.)
 - What else should we consider?